

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/494,953	02/01/2000	Yoshiharu Kato	P8075-9034 4157		
Arent Fox Kintner Plotkin & Kahn PLLC 1050 Connecticut Avenue NW Suite 600 Washington, DC 20036-5339			EXAMINER		
			TORRES, JOSEPH D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		
			DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/494,953		KATO, YOSHIHARU			
		Examiner	'	Art Unit			
	•	Joseph D. 1	Torres	2133			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Periòd for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statute d will apply and will tte, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to a the control of	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20.	July 2004					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
' -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
_	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>30-48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17, 26 and 27</u> is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) 18,22-25,28 and 29 is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 19-21 is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election red	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner					
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 February 2000</u> is/a		epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔲 Infom	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims 30-48 drawn to an invention nonelected without traverse. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Objections

2. In view of the amendment filed 07/20/2004, all objections to the claims are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. In view of the amendment filed 07/20/2004, all **35 USC § 112** rejections to the claims are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 07/20/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Accordingly, Claim 18 presupposes a 'bus line', through which the 'first logical output

signal', 'second logical output signal' and 'first bus line signal' are propagated from the first semiconductor device to the second semiconductor device, and also the 'first bus line signal' and 'second bus line signal' are propagated from the second semiconductor device to the first semiconductor device") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In particular, nowhere in the claim does the Applicant claim any relationship between the actual circuit elements in the body of the claim and first semiconductor and second semiconductor devices.

Page 3

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Accordingly, Claim 24 presupposes a 'bus line', through which the 'first logical output signal', 'second logical output signal' and 'first bus line signal' are propagated from the first semiconductor device to the second semiconductor device, and also the 'first bus line signal' and 'second bus line signal' are propagated from the second semiconductor device to the first semiconductor device") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In particular, nowhere in the claim does the Applicant claim any relationship between the actual circuit elements in the body of the claim and first semiconductor and second semiconductor devices.

Page 4

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "Accordingly, Claim 25 presupposes a 'bus line', through which the 'first logical output signal', 'second logical output signal' and 'first bus line signal' are propagated from the first semiconductor device to the second semiconductor device, and also the 'first bus line signal' and 'second bus line signal' are propagated from the second semiconductor device to the first semiconductor device") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In particular, nowhere in the claim does the Applicant claim any relationship between the actual circuit elements in the body of the claim and first semiconductor and second semiconductor devices.

The Examiner disagrees with the applicant and maintains all rejections of claims 18-25, 28 and 29. All amendments and arguments by the applicant have been considered. It is the Examiner's conclusion that claims 18-25, 28 and 29 are not patentably distinct or non-obvious over the prior art of record in view of the reference, Akiyama, Hideki (JP 05053857 A) as applied in the last office action, filed 01/23/2004. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 18, 22-25, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akiyama, Hideki (JP 05053857 A)

 See the Non-Final Action filed 01/23/2004 for detailed action of prior rejections.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-17, 26 and 27 are allowed.

Claims 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2133

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).